1	ERIC GRANT United States Attorney		
2	ANTONIO J. PATACA JUSTIN GILIO Assistant United States Attorneys 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401		
3			
5	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	LINITED STATES OF AMEDICA	CASE NO. 1.24 CD 00055 H T SVO	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:24-CR-00055-JLT-SKO	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
14			
15	JAMES EARL MELO, Defendant.		
16			
17			
18		STIPULATION	
19	1. By previous order, this matter w	as set for trial on February 3, 2026.	
20	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to vacate the trial date as to him only and set a		
21	change of plea hearing on February 9, 2026. The parties further agree and stipulate to exclude time		
22	between February 3, 2026, and February 9, 2026, under Local Code T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, a	and request that the Court find the following:	
24	a) The discovery associated	l with this case is voluminous and includes tens of	
25	thousands of pages, including investigative reports, photographs and videos, as well as hundred		
26	of hours of recorded telephone conversations pursuant to wiretap orders, cellular phone		
27	extractions, and large amounts of cellular telephone precise location data and vehicle tracker		
28	data. All this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel, and/or made available for		

1

2

45

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

14 15

> 16 17

> 18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult to prepare for the change of plea hearing and conduct investigation in support of mitigation for sentencing.

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 3, 2026, to February 9, 2026, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 2, 2025

inspection and copying.

ERIC GRANT
United States Attorney

/s/ ANTONIO J. PATACA
ANTONIO J. PATACA
Assistant United States Attorney

Case 1:24-cr-00055-JLT-SKO Document 799 Filed 12/02/25 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: December 2, 2025	/s/ DANIEL L. HARRALSON DANIEL L. HARRALSON
2		Counsel for Defendant
3		JAMES EARL MELO
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		ORDER
11	IT IS SO FOUND.	
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	Dated: December 2, 2025	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15		OHITED STATES DISTRICT SOLDE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
ı	l .	